

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF

## Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

DE-9

Mr. Scott C. Carr
Techalloy
Union Wire Plant
6509 Olson Rd. - P.O. Box 423
Union, Illinois 60180-0423

Re: Request for Extension/Completion of Asphalt Cap

Techalloy Company, Inc. EPA ID No.: ILD 005 178 975

Dear Mr. Carr.

30, 2002. Based on the information that you provided, we are extending your completion date until June to June 30, 2002 in order to ensure the structural integrity of the sub-grade soil under the cap. This letter is in response to your request for a time extension to complete the asphalt cap. In your letter, dated November 28, 2001, you stated that it was necessary to extend the completion date

If you have any questions regarding this matter please contact me at 312-886-3543

Sincerely,

Pamela Molitor

Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch

cc: Carlos Serna, InteGreyted Consultants

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5

RESPONDENT	EPA ID NO. ILD 005 178 975	TECHALLOY COMPANY, INC. 6509 OLSON ROAD UNION, ILLINOIS 60180	IN THE MATTER OF:
Proceeding under Section 3008(h) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended 42 U.S.C. S6928(h).	) U.S. EPA DOCKET NO.: ) R8H-5-99-008	) MODIFICATION TO ) ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER ) ON CONSENT	

#### T. JURISDICTION

ă,

ဗ္ဗ and the the been action pursuant and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, Disposal Act, commonly referred to Agency ("U.S. further delegated by the Regional Administrator authority to ("Consent Order") was Delegation No. September Administrator of Recovery Waste, Chief delegated О Н Pesticides 30, Act the issue administrative orders requiring corrective EPA") to the Regional Administrators 8-32, c O O F 1999, Enforcement RCRA 3008(h) vested by Section 3008(h) 1976 ("RCRA"), the United States Environmental Protection dated May issued pursuant to the authority vested and Toxics an Administrative Order and Division, Compliance S) 1994. S 2 the amended 0f p. U.S.C. the Resource Conservation the This λq Assurance by U.S. Administrator \$6928(h). by the Hazardous EPA Region Solid Waste on Consent authority of Region EPA Branch £ 0 0 0 The m na s C <u>ب.</u>

Delegation 8-32, dated April 24, 1996.

The ω ("Techalloy"  $\dot{\alpha}$ 6509 Consent Order was Olson Road, Union, 9 "Respondent"), issued Illinois ď the owner/operator Techalloy Company, О М Inc ω facility

#### II. MODIFICATION

mutual incorporated ဒ္ဓ 9 further Pursuant ,666 Which signed Consent agreement states t b e c O by both Section modification is signed by into Order, t n a t Ç, parties, the any modification shall be XXIII (MODIFICATION) of . დ ct In e September Consent Order EPA and Respondent. shall have , ω 1999, ր. Մ ය ග may only Consent effective EPA, t ne in writing, shall Section be modified September and shall Order da te IIIXX t De C ယ Ф Д

PERFORMED) Order Therefore, ۲ ۵ λq substituting paragraph E th pursuant their t ne signatures following language: C Section XXIII of below rzj agree in Section VIII the ct O modify Consent the (WORK TO Order, Consent the

## VIII. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

#### F. Asphalt Cap

required to implement Task II.A, II.B, and II.D forth in Attachment I. Respondent shall install writing November asphalt Respondent indicated The O m asphalt cap installation shall be completed by cap in رم م in Exhibit D. shall ր Մ 2001. accordance completion of install the asphalt cap Respondent shall notify U.S. Respondent shall not with ct ne Tas K asphalt shall install the II.C c H ω ct cap Attachment C D O S A C area

completion. installation within fifteen (15) days of such

 $\sim$ days MW8, MW9 and the Highbridge Well. provide U.S. EPA with the results the following wells: MW2, MW4, Following installation of MCLs for metals submitting the (twice accomplished pursuant to Respondent shall notify U.S. EPA within fifteen semi-annual additional work is o m Consent perform a year) obtaining the results. groundwater sampling for metals Order. groundwater monitoring on a next Progress Rep s are exceeded at basis for necessary, total metals (Method 6010B) Section VIII, the Report, asphalt MW5, MW5D, c E e If a.s. of each round of it shall Respondent Highbridge except cap, paragraph EPA SE SE semi-annual Respondent when O O determines that shall Well, MW7, (15) O ္ဌ QU CT

the Furthermore, the designated appears substituting soil ř stabilization area c O Section the Φ Ω parties above ω VIII of Corrective paragraph explicitly t ne с t Action Management September 30, the rrj Facility for the acknowledge that, paragraph ۳. ده 1999, ೧ Unit longer Consent , L Š that Order,

the ) new W Exhibit Exhibit U which was U ۳-ده attached attached d this с† О Modification, the Consent Order а П О replaces

버 S H SO AGREED AND ORDERED:

DATE:

TECHALLOY COMPANY TWC.

RESPONDENT

WASTE, JOSEPH M. BOYLE, CHIEF CHIEF AND COMPLIANCE WASTE, PESTICIDES TOXICS DIVISION
J.S. EPA, REGION 5 ASSURANCE BRANCH

AND

U.S. EPA,

# Memorandum Modification to Soil Remediation Alternative Techalloy Company, Inc. Union, Illinois ILD 005 178 975

September 30, 1999 and modification to the Order dated March 9, 2000. the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and Techalloy issued as a requirement of a RCRA Section 3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent between implemented at Techalloy Company, Inc. (Techalloy) facility located at the intersection Olson and Jefferson Roads in Union, Illinois (herein referred to as the subject property). Corrective Measures Implementation is currently being conducted at the subject property This memorandum provides a summary of the soil remediation approach to be

the remedial approach for metal constituents in soil, the following sections present a In order to provide a clear understanding of the rationale and scope of this modification to discussion of project background, soil remediation rationale and implementation issues.

### Background Information

agricultural land surrounding the facility. A property boundary map is presented in the property occupies five acres. The subject property includes an additional 35 acres of Village of Union, Coral Township, McHenry County, Illinois. The developed portion of The subject property is located at the intersection of Olson and Jefferson Roads near the

and a Final Decision and Response to Comments was signed by the U.S. EPA on May groundwater sparging, on-site soil vapor extraction to address volatile organic 26, 1998. The Final Decision included off-site groundwater extraction/treatment, on-site remedial alternatives for both soil and groundwater. A Statement of Basis was prepared, potential source areas. The CMS was completed in August 1997 and recommended determined the extent of potential releases of hazardous waste and/or constituents at prevent direct human exposure to the contaminated soil. capping to minimize the leaching of soil contaminants into the groundwater, and to compounds (VOCs) in the soil and groundwater, and on-site soil stabilization and asphalt August/September 1994 and March/April 1996 and involved investigations that Measure Study (CMS) at the subject property. The RFI was conducted between Techalloy has completed both a RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) and the Corrective

system that injects air into the aquifer, which removes VOCs from the groundwater. The remediation technology is preventing the VOCs in groundwater from migrating further. to discharging the clean water in to the South Branch of the Kishwaukee River. This 600 gallons per minute from the aquifer. Techalloy is then treating VOCs in water prior Currently, there are two groundwater extraction wells pumping a total of approximately In addition to off-site groundwater extraction, Techalloy has implemented an air sparging

approximately 7 feet below the ground surface (Figure 2). four discreet areas totaling approximately 100 feet by 100 feet to a depth of determined that the area of metals impacted soil was approximately half the size of what was originally assessed from the RFI data. The area of metals contamination consisted of assess the detailed extent of metals contamination in the soil. The investigation In March 2001, an investigation at the subject property was conducted to more accurately

the metals adsorbing to the clay particles in the soils. off-site well location. This lack of metal migration in groundwater is likely the result of the metal constituents in soil are leaching to groundwater, they have not migrated to the and 1996 indicate that metal concentrations have decreased. This indicates that although Highbridge Roads. Comparing the concentrations from the same wells collected in 1994 In addition, in May 2001, Techalloy conducted a round of groundwater sampling from detected in the off-site groundwater monitoring well at the intersection of Union and wells located on-site and off-site for metal constituents (Figure 3). Metals were not

supply. Techalloy has incorporated within its Property Deed a restriction that the public and the environment. These measures are considered institutional controls that provide further protection to the water source and that the subject property will only be used for industrial purposes. groundwater within the area of the groundwater plume is not being used as a drinking property will only be used for industrial purposes. These measures ensure that (west of the subject property), have been connected to the City of Union public water incorporated in the groundwater-sampling program required by the 1999 Consent Order drinking water within the area of the groundwater plume. Also, residents that were Currently, McHenry County has restricted the use of groundwater as a potable source of

### Soil Remediation Rationale

a remediation technology is necessary that will minimize precipitation from leaching the surface at concentrations which would result in an unacceptable risk due to direct contact, metals from the soil and that will place a physical barrier between the contaminated soil Since metal constituents are leaching from soil to groundwater and are found at the

the areas of elevated metal impacted soil, and would eliminate the direct contact threat. an engineered barrier (asphalt cap) that would minimize precipitation from infiltrating in contact. By letter dated July 6, 2001, Techalloy proposed an alternative of constructing asphalt cap to minimize the leaching of metals and eliminating any threat due to direct U.S EPA agrees that this alternative would be equally protective of human health and the The Administrative Order on Consent stipulated the use of soil stabilization and an

soil stabilization followed by capping. this modified remediation can be implemented more quickly and economically than could subsurface soil is the elimination of the short-term risk of exposure to workers. Further, will not be removed from the subsurface. The benefit of not digging the contaminated will be no need for a Corrective Action Management Unit (CAMU), since impacted soil stabilization technology with an asphalt cap. Also, by eliminating soil stabilization there technology is as protective to human health and the environment as would be using eliminate the potential for contact with the contaminated soil. At this property, use of this minimize the leaching of metals in the soil. This technology will also effectively will act as a barrier and minimize the infiltration of precipitation, and consequently, The alternative to soil stabilization is to solely implement an engineered asphalt cap that

duration between sampling events. groundwater continue to decrease, Techalloy may request that U.S. EPA extend the by U.S. EPA. After five years, if conditions remain stable or metal concentrations in will notify U.S. EPA, and will implement those measures deemed appropriate at the time any time MCLs for metals in groundwater are exceeded at the off-site well, Techalloy year for a duration of time U.S. EPA considers appropriate (minimum five years). If at be required to monitor on-site and off-site monitoring wells for metal constituents twice a To further ensure that metal constituents are not migrating in groundwater, Techalloy will

## Technical Implementation and Schedule

roadway has been constructed to hold a heavier load to accommodate forklifts and trucks. resurfaced/sealed every two years, as necessary. The condition of the asphalt cap will be evaluated by Techalloy each spring, and aggregate, 4 inches CA-6 aggregate, and lastly 6-ounce geotextile membrane. inches Class 1 Type 3 asphalt, 4 inches IDOT Class 1 Type 2 asphalt, 6 inches CA-8 aggregate, and lastly an 8-ounce geotextile membrane. The roadway design includes 2.5 IDOT Type 3 asphalt, 2.5 inches of Class 1 IDOT Type 2 asphalt, 4 inches CA-6 property. The majority of the cap has been designed to include 2.5 inches of Class 1 cap has been designed for roadway purposes to provide access around the subject cap has been designed to cover the metal impacted soil and secondly a smaller area of the cap incorporates two design types with specific purposes. The majority of the area of the The asphalt cap has been designed to be a strong and reasonably impervious layer. The

in soil is presented in Figure 2. The cap will cover all areas of metal impacted soil. The boundary of the asphalt cap in relation to the identified impacted metal constituents

on or about November 15, 2001. The construction of the cap is to start on or about October 13, 2001 and to be completed The implementation of the cap has been initiated with the site grading and design plan.





